" the one; in one they found it written, " זעטוטי, Exod. xxiv. 5. in two גערי, they " confirmed the two, and rejected the other; in one they found it written משע, " Gen. xxxii. 22. in two אחת עשרה, they " confirmed the two and rejected the one." Some think b these three copies were what belonged to the three bodies of the Yews in Judea, Babylon, and Egypt; and conjecture, that from the collation of these copies arose the Keri and Cetib; though this refers to times after Ezra and the great synagogue. Translators sometimes follow the Cetib, and sometimes the Keri, as do the Chaldee paraphrases, which sometimes take in both, as in Pf. xxii. 16. which is a proof of the antiquity of them: there is a various reading in Is. xlv. 5. Jonathan ben Uzziel, and so Aquila, an ancient Greek interpreter, translate according to the margin; and Symmachus and Theodotion, two other ancient ones, translate according to the textual writing, which is observed by Ferom i; fo that these various readings were known by him, though it has been denied.

Lightfoot, Hor. Heb. in Matt. 5. 18. p. 140. Othon. Lexic. Rabbin. p. 315. Vid. Comment. in If. c. 49, 5. in Hierem. c. 31, 40. fol. 160. Vide Loc. Heb. fol. 89. B.

nied, and were in being before the pretended Masoretes of Tiberias. Nay, the forms and figures of letters unufual, or of an unusual position, marked by the Masoretes are observed in the Talmud*; so that these Masoretic remarks were before those men were, said to be after the finishing of that. These readings seem to be defigned not as corrections and emendations of the text, but only some as various readings, and others as euphemisms, to be regarded by readers as may feem good to them, and others as observing anomalous punctuations; but in none was it intended that alterations should be made in the text, but that that should stand as it is, and was found: but it feems better with Carpzovius k to suppose that these marginal readings were made after the times of Antiochus, when the temple was purified and worship in it restored; and the autograph of Ezra, perhaps, and many copies of it being destroyed, though not all, Maccab. i. 59, 66. and iii. 49, and xii. 9.) it was thought proper to revite the R 2 bocks

^{*} T. Bab. Kiddushin, fol. 30, 1. & 66 2. Bava Bathra, fol. 109, 2. Sanhedrin, fol. 103, 2. Massech. Sopherim c. 9. f. 7.

* Critic Sacr. p. 342.

books of the scripture; and observing different readings in the copies they found they placed them in the margin for the faid uses; and therefore I have put the date of the original of them as above: now though these greatly respect words and letters, yet in some instances the change of confonants appears to be in the margin for the fake of vowels found in the text not so suitable to the consonants in it: and therefore the vowels must be in the text when the Keri was put in the margin, as the learned Pocock has observed in the Keri and Cetib of Ps. xxx. 4. " for, fays he, unless the Masoretes, or whoever put the Keri in the margin had found מינרדי, fo as it is now pointed, with vowels agreeing to the word, what need had they to substitute it? since the sense as well, if not better, flows by reading וו ידי but if in other copies they had found it מידר, and without vowel-points, why did they not dash out the Vau, and read it so? and if they had found any, with its own vowels, in which they read it, they would never have dared to have cast them away without necessity, and put those in their

¹ Miscellan. Not. in Port. Mosis, p. 64, 65.

their room, proper to an infinitive; as it is faid, the same commonly is the reason of others, in which Vau is postponed to Kametz, 1. Sam. xxvii. 11. Josh. xv. 62. Ps. ci. 5. and to Pathach, P/. v. 9." fo that it appears to be the doctrine of the points, and the anomalous ones observed, that is sometimes the cause of the marginal Keri, See Is. xxxvi. 12. where the points under the word in the text better agree with that in the margin, and feems to be the reason of the marginal reading. Some of those Keries may not be so ancient as the date above; but additions may be made by fome in later times; yet they feem chiefly to be of great antiquity, as appears by what has been observed of the Targums and ancient Greek copies; and Buxtorf m has given some rules to discern the one from the other.

A. 277. Ante Christum.

In this year, according to bishop Usher, Ptolemy Philadelphus king of Egypt, being desirous of erecting a library in Alexandria, R 3 employed

m Anticritica, par. 2. c. 4. p. 501.

* Annal. Veta
Test. p. 480.

employed Demetrius his librarian to collect books for that purpose, who in a letter to the king preserved by Eusebius, tells him that he had diligently executed his orders; but that with some few other books, there remained the books of the law of the Jews to be got, which he fays were contained in Hebrew letters and vowels; for what else can be meant by $\varphi \omega \nu \eta$, as distinguished from letters? not the pronunciation and found, which those volumes could not be faid to lie in, but the vowelpoints, by which the letters were read and pronounced, and are annexed to them for that purpose; so that it seems at this time the books of the Jews were written not only in Hebrew letters, but with Hebrew points, and in their own characters, Demetrius says P, which were different both from the Egyptian and Syrian, as he affirms; and which deferves to be remarked, as what may be of some service to shew what were the *Hebrew* characters then in use: and though it is commonly supposed that the feventy interpreters used an unpointed copy from which they translated, whence came

Præpar Evangel. 1. 8. c. 3. p. 351.
 P Apud Euseb.
 p. 350. Vid. Aristeæ Hist. 70. p. 4, 5. Ed. Oxon. 1692.

came so many mistakes to be made in their version; yet Hottinger 4 has observed near fifty places in which for Kametz they read Tzere or Segol; fo Leusden * observes, that they read words with wrong vowels, as Tzere for Kametz, Ps. xl. 5. Patach for Tzere, Ps. vii. 12. Chirek for Patach, Ps. vii. 7. Patach for Segol, Ps. xci. 3. and which might be owing either to a vitiated pointed copy before them, which led them wrong; or to an unpointed copy, and trusting to their memory, put one point for another; though Dr. Lightfoot fuggests they purposely "used an unpricked Bible, in which " the words written without vowels might " be bended divers ways, and into di-" vers fenses, and different from the mean-" ing of the original; and yet if the trans-" lation was questioned they might prick " or vowel the word fo as to agree to " their translation: how they have dealt " in this kind there is none that ever laid " the Hebrew Bible and the Septuagint to-" gether, but hath observed;" though he adds, "their differences from the ori-" ginal, R 4

⁹ Thefaur. Philolog. 1, 1. c. 3. p. 354, &c. * Philolog. Heb. Mixt. Differt. 4. p. 31. * Works, vol. 1, p. 490.

"ginal, which were innumerable, were " partly of ignorance, they themselves not " being able to read the text always true, " in a copy unvowelled; but this ignorance " was also voluntary in them; they not " caring to mistake, so that they might do " it with their own fecurity;" and fo Mr. Broughton 'fays, " that the feventy had " not the vowelled Bible, both for the rare-" ness, and because they never meant to "give the truth;" but be it that they used an unpointed Bible purposely, or a pointed one vitiated, it shews that points were in use in their time, and very necesfary: and it may be observed, that the Pentateuch, which some, as Josephus and others, think was the only part of scripture translated by them, is almost every where translated in agreement with the modern punctuation; and Jerom * long ago observed this, that the five books of Moses translated by them more agreed with the Hebrew than any other. It is an obfervation of Capellus of himself, that the feventy interpreters, who lived about 300 years

^{*} Works, p. 6 o, 681.

Gen. fol 65. D. Tom. 3.

p. 183, 191, 192.

* Quæft. seu Trad. Heb. in

† Orat. de Nom. Tetragram.

[249]

years before Christ, instead of the tetragrammaton or the word Jehovah, always read Adonai, and always render it by xupios, a word not expressive of essence, as Jebovah is, but of lordship, as Adonai is; and that they are followed in this by the Apoftles of Christ, and the rest of the writers of the New Testament, and the ancient fathers of the church; and that from them the Greek interpreters of the Old Testament never depart, as Aquila, Symmachus, and Theodotion. Now what could lead them to read Adonai, and sometimes Elobim instead of Jebovah, and translate the word accordingly? not the confonant letters of Jehovah, but the points of Adonai and Elohim put unto it as they now are; and Capellus * plainly confesses that this word had the points of Adonai, and sometimes of Elohim in their time; for he says, the feventy when יהוה has the points of אלהים oftner render it אינהיב, as Pf. lxviii. 21. & passim, and sometimes xupios, and $\theta \epsilon o \varsigma$, as in Amos iii. 7. &c. from whence it is conjectured that for Adonai Jehovah they read Adonai Elohim.

A.

[250]

A. 454. Ante Christum.

In this year, according to bishop Usher', Ezra was returned from Babylon, and was at Yerusalem, and read, and expounded the law to the people of the Jews there. is the generally received notion of the Yews, that the vowel-points were annexed to the letters of the facred Books by Ezra; not but that they suppose they were originally from Moses and the prophets, and that they are equally of divine authority as the letters; only they imagine they were delivered down from them by oral tradition to the times of Ezra, and by him affixed to the letters; and Elias, who invented the story of the men of Tiberias, is of the same mind, only with this difference, that the oral tradition of the points was carried down to those men, and they put them to the letters: as much like a fiction as this oral tradition looks. as it undoubtedly does, yet it is little less, if any, what Capellus and Walton allow, especially the latter; that the pointing of the Masoretes is not arbitrary, and at their pleasure, but according to the found,

Annal. Vet. Test. p. 197.

[251]

found, pronunciation, true and accustomed reading, always in use, handed down succeffively to their times, and which contains the true fense and meaning of the Holy Ghost. Dr. John Prideaux , an opposer of the antiquity of the points, yet thinks it probable that some of the points and accents for the distinction of the text, and, for the direction of the reading, were devifed by Ezra, and by the succeeding Maforetes before the Talmudists, and were preferved in separate parchments and sheets, and that they were used and increased to the times of the Tiberian Masoretes, who were after the Talmudists; which is giving up the invention of them by the men of Tiberias, and ascribing the original of them to Ezra. Many who are clear for the divine authority of the points and accents are content they should be ascribed to Ezra, since he was divinely inspired, as Buxtorf and others; and it may be fafely concluded that the points and accents were in being in his time, fince the Masorah which was begun by him, or about his time *, at least by the men of his

u Viginti & duæ Lectiones, Lect. 12. p. 196, 197. Casaubon. Epist. ep. 390. Porthæsio, p. 468.

his fynagogue, is concerned about the points and accents, as well as other things, as has been observed; and besides, the Scribes, which were affistant to Ezra in reading the law, cannot well be thought to read, at least so well, to read it distinctly, and cause the people to understand the reading of it, even men, women, and children, without the points. Not to take any further notice of the sense the Talmudists, both Yerusalem and Babylonian, give of the text in Neb. viii. 8. I now refer to, which has been quoted already. Dr. Humphrey Prideaux, though he took that fide of the question, which denies that the vowelpoints were affixed by Ezra, and of the same divine authority with the rest of the text, yet allows, that they came into use a little after the time of Ezra, being then necessary for the reading and teaching of the *Hebrew* text *; which is not only an acknowledgement of the great usefulness of the points, but carries the antiquity of them very high; and I fee not if they were needful for the reading and teaching of the Hebrew text a little after the time of Ezra, why they were not as necessary in the time

^{*} Connection, par. 1. b. 5. p. 352, 353.

time of Ezra; for was the necessity of them owing to the Hebrew language, then ceasing to be vulgarly spoken, so, according to him, it did cease to be in the times of Ezra; though I apprehend that is a mistake, for it was some hundreds of years after, ere it ceased to be vulgarly spoken.

THERE is nothing to be observed between the times of Exra and Moses relative to the points; for I lay no stress on the different pronunciation of Shibboleth, in Jud. xii. 6. though Schindler is of opinion that from hence it appears, that the point on the right and left hand of w, was then in use, and so by consequence the other points also.

Elias Levita* roundly afferts, that the copy of the law which was given by Mo
fes to the children of Ifrael was without points and accents; but this is faid without proof, and is what no man is able to prove. He quotes Aben Ezra, who fays, the points were delivered at Sinai, but the tables of the law were not pointed, which feems to be a flat contradiction, at least it is what is very improbable. Much better

^{*} Lex. Pentaglott. col. 1792. vid. Balmesii Gram. Heb. p. 14. lin. 9. 14. 16. * Præsat. 3. ad Masoret. y Zach Shephataim in Ib.

does another writer * argue, whom he mentions, who in answer to the question, How do we know that the points and accents are of God? fays, "it may be re-" plied, what is written in Deut. xxvii. "8. and thou shalt write upon the stones all " the words of this law very plainly; but " without the points and accents, which " explain the words, no man, he fays, can " understand them clearly and plainly:" and whatever may be faid for the king's writing out a copy of the law, and reading in it all the days of his life Deut. xvii. 18, 19. and for the priests reading it once a year in the hearing of all I/rael, which yet is not very easy to account for, without the points, so as to be understood, Deut. xxxi. 11. yet how the common people should be able to read it to their children, and teach them the knowledge of it without the points, is still more difficult of belief.

THE common opinion of the Jews is, either that the points and accents were delivered to Moses on mount Sinai, yet only as to the power of pronouncing and reading, but not as to their marks and fi-

gures

R. Levi bar Joseph Semadar, in ib.

gures in writing; but that the true manner of reading the scriptures was propagated and preserved by oral tradition to the times of Ezra; or that they were given to Moses at Sinai, but were omitted in writing for the most part afterward, and so were forgotten, 'till Ezra came and restored But it rather feems that they were as early as the Hebrew letters; and fince it is not improbable that these were before the flood, and before the confusion of tongues, the points were also; and could the sense of Gen. xi. 1. given by a late writer a, be established, it would be out of all doubt; which is this, and the whole earth was of one language, i. e. the Hebrew language, as afterwards called, and of one speech, or words, that is, according to this writer, words distinguished by acute or tharp points; deriving the word used from זרד to sharpen, whereby he thinks, the tautology in the text is avoided; and to which may be added, that the latter clause of the text is plural: yet I fear the word will not bear this fense, fince the fingular and plural words used, the one in one clause, and the other in the other, must have

^a Kals. de Ling. Heb. Natal. p. 33, 37, 38, 39.

have a different derivation, which is not usual of a word in the same text.

If the book of Jetzirah was compiled by Abraham, to whom the Jews b commonly ascribe it, though sometimes to Adam, the points might be traced to his time; for in that book frequent mention is made of the double letters Begaa Cephat, or Begad Cephrat, as there so called , because they have a double pronunciation, which pronunciation depends upon the points, their having or not having in them the Dagesh lene. But though there is no reason to believe that the book was written either by Abraham or Adam, yet it is an ancient one, and by this instance it carries the antiquity of the points higher than is now commonly allowed unto them; for the book is spoken of in the Talmud'; and if it was written by R. Akiba, who is the only one mentioned by the Jews as the author of it, besides Adam and Abraham, he died in the beginning of the fecond century; though if Jonathan Ben Uzziel wrote a supplement to it, which was as a com-

b Cofri, par 4. c. 27. Juchafin, fol. 52, 2.
f. 2, 9, 10, & c. 2, f. 1. & c. 4. f. 1, 2, 3.

Bab. Sanhedrin, fol. 65, 2.

commentary on it, as is said, it must be before his time, since Jonathan was cotemporary with Christ, or a little after him; and it may be observed, that the double pronunciation of the above letters was in use in the times of Christ, as appears from the words, Armageddon, Capernaum, Euphrates, Joppa, Pascha, Sarepta, and others.

It is not only the opinion of some Yewish writers, that the vowel-points, as well as letters, were given by God himself to Adam, as the author of Cofrif, and his commentator Muscatus, and of R. Azariah, and of others; but some Christian writersi also, ascribe them to Adam; and indeed, if the Hebrew letters were of his invention, as many have thought, and Walton khimfelf thinks, there can be no reasonable doubt but the vowels were also; but be this as it may, I am inclined to believe that the vowels were coeval with the letters, and that the penmen of the sacred scriptures, severally annexed, the vowelpoints

Vid Wolfii Bibliothec. Heb. p. 28. f Par. 4. c. 25. In Ib. fol. 229, 1. h Meor Enayim, c. 59. i Alfted. Chronolog. p. 267. vid. Buxtorf. de Punct. Antiqu. par. 2. p. 309, 310. k Prolegom. 2. f. 7.

points to letters in their writings. My reasons are these:

1. THE perfection of language requires No language can be perfect withvowels. out them; they are the life and foul of language; letters without them are indeed dead letters; the confonants are stubborn and immoveable things, they can't be moved or pronounced without vowels, which are, as Plato fays 1, the bond of letters, by which they are joined, and without which they can't be coupled together: can it be thought, therefore, that the Hebrew language, the first, and most perfect of all languages, should be without them, which, if this was the case, would be the most imperfect of all the oriental languages? for notwithstanding what has been said to the contrary, the Samaritan had its points, though differing from the Hebrew, as Jerom observes m, and so a later writer n has observed it has. The Syrians, Chaldeans, Arabs, and Perhans, had vowel-points likewise, as Hottinger affirms, and so dean Prideaux P. The invention of the Syriac

¹ Sophista p. 177. ^m Præfat ad Reg. T. 3. fol. 5. L. ⁿ Petrus a Valle in Antiqu. Eccl. Orient. p. 184 ° Thefaur. Philolog. p. 403. P Connection, par. B. 5. p. 355°

Syriac vowel-points is indeed by some s afcribed to Ephrem Syrus, who lived in the 4th century; and as for the Ethiopic language, the vowels are incorporated into the confonants, and are a part of them, and so must be ab origine, and coeval with them; and even those who are for casting away the vowel-points feem to be fenfible of a necessity of substituting something in their room, the matres lectionis, as they call them, you to which fome add n; but these are not sufficient, being wanting in a great number of words; witness also the various methods of reading Hebrew, contrived by men; but why should they be at pains to find out a method of reading and pronouncing the Hebrew language, when there is fuch a plain one at hand, ready prepared for them, and of which Walton himself fays, that it is a most profitable and useful invention no man can deny?

2. The nature and genius of the Hebrew language require points; without these the difference can't be discerned between nouns and verbs, in some instances, as קבר, with many others; between verbs active,

⁴ Vid. Fabritii Bibliothec. Gr. Tom. 5. p. 320. r Prolegom. 8. f. 10.

and verbs passive, between some conjugations, moods, tenses, and persons, Kal, Piel, Pual; imperatives and infinitives, are proofs hereof; nor can the Vau conversive of tenses be observed, which yet is used frequently throughout the Bible, and without which, the formation of some of the tenses by letters would be useless. Morinus • himself says, " that without the " points a grammar cannot be written, as " Elias rightly observes; for example, de-" fcribe the conjugation Kal without " points, and immediately you'll be at a " stand, and much more in Piel;" and Walton t also owns the use of them in the investigation of the roots. The pronunciation of fome letters depends upon the points as has been observed.

3. The vowel-points are necessary and useful to the more easy learning, reading, and pronouncing the *Hebrew* language. What men well skilled in the language may be able to do is one thing, and what learners of it, and beginners in it can do is another thing; men well versed in it may

Vid. Cosri, par. 2. c. 80.
tiqu. Eccl. Oriental. p. 392.

* Epist. Buxtorsio in Antique Eccl. Oriental. p. 392.

* Introduct. Orient. Ling.

may chuse to read without them; and so a man that is master of Brachygraphy may chuse to read what he has written in short hand, and to which he is used, rather than in long hand; but this is no proof of the perfection and propriety of his Brachygraphy. " A tongue, as Dr. Lightfoot fays", " cannot first be learnt without vowels, "though at last skill and practice may " make it to be read without; grammar " and not nature makes men to do this:" and a late learned writer has observed w, that " to talk of reading Hebrew without points, " is a collusive way of speaking; we may " do it when we have learnt the language, " but not before; as it is a dead language " we want instructions either by word of " mouth or by grammar. Points in He-" brew are like scaffolds in building, when " the work is finished we may take them "down and throw them afide, but not " sooner with safety." Dr. John Prideaux * an opposer of the antiquity of the points, owns that "the tongue being toffed " about by various calamities, the points S_3 " were

Works, vol. 1. p. 1014. W Chappelow's Preface to his Comment on Job, p. 18, 19. Viginti & duæ Lectione, Lect. 12. p. 189.

" were added, that it might be the more " accurately preserved, and that by the " Jews, to whom it ceased to be verna-" cular; as also that by others it might the " more easily be understood, and be more " exactly pronounced:" and elsewhere he fays y, let them be whose additions to the text they may, they are so far from corrupting it, that they rather protect it from corruption, and lead to a more easy reading and understanding of it; and so Walton , another opposer of the points, fays, " the " Christian church received their (the Ma-" soretes) punctuation, not upon their au-" thority, but because it expressed the true " fense received in the church of God; and " withal because they saw it conduced " much to the more easy reading of the " text, and even to the true reading of it, " as he owns *:" and their great master and chief leader Capellus a, having treated of the points and accents devised and added to the facred Hebrew text by the Masoretes, as he supposed, frankly owns, " that up-" on that account we now certainly owe " much

Y Fasciculus Controvers. de Script. qu. 3. p. 21. Z The Considerator considered, p. 209. * Prolegom. 8. s. 47. Arcan. Punct. 1. 1. c. 17. s. 11.

" much unto them; or rather, should give " thanks to God, who stirred up these men " to it, and put them upon the study of " it; for in that work they have certainly " laboured most successfully, so that now " by the help of those little marks we can " far more easily, and even more happily " be conversant in reading and understand-" ing the facred Hebrew text, than other-" wife could have been done by us with-" out this help." Why then should it not be attended to? and indeed I cannot fee how common people, men, women, and children, could be able to read it without points, when it was their mother tongue; it was their duty and interest to read their Bible in it, for whose sake it was written, and who had as great an interest and concern in it as men the most learned have, it being the grand charter of their falvation; the Bible was not written for learned men only, but for these also, and therefore it was written, as it was proper it should be, in the most plain and easy manner.

4. THE vowel-points and accents are useful and necessary, to remove ambiguity and confusion in words and sentences, and